

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of	:	HABETHA, et al.
Serial No.	:	10/599,160
Confirmation No.	:	5121
Filing Date	:	September 21, 2006
Group Art Unit	:	2617
Examiner	:	MAPA, MICHAEL
Attorney Docket No.	:	US040158

**APPEAL BRIEF  
On Appeal from Group Art Unit 2617**

Mail Stop: Appeal Brief Patents  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Appeal Brief is submitted in support of the Notice of Appeal filed on June 22, 2010  
and in response to the final Office Action of March 25, 2010.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REAL PARTY IN INTERST .....	3
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES.....	3
III.	STATUS OF CLAIMS.....	3
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.....	3
V.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	4
VI.	GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.....	6
VII.	ARGUMENT.....	8
VIII.	CLAIMS APPENDIX.....	14
IX.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX.....	22
X.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX.....	23

## **I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

The real party in interest of the above-identified application is Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., the assignee of record, whose assignment is recorded in the USPTO as of September 21, 2006 on three (3) pages beginning at Reel 018284, Frame 0638.

## **II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Appellants are not aware of any pending appeals, judicial proceedings, or interferences which may be related to, directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

## **III. STATUS OF CLAIMS**

- a) Claims 1-37 are pending at the time of filing this Appeal Brief, stand rejected in a final Office Action dated March 25, 2010, and are the subject of this appeal.
- b) Claims 1 and 31 are independent.

## **IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

The claims listed in section "VIII. Claims Appendix" of this Appeal Brief correspond to the claims as submitted in Appellants' response filed on December 28, 2009 (in response to the Office Action dated September 25, 2009). No claim amendments have been submitted following the response of December 28, 2009, nor are any amendments pending.

## **V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER<sup>1</sup>**

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 1, is directed to a method for a distributed beaconing period protocol for a device in an ad hoc network of devices (see Appellants' specification at least at the title and at page 2, lines 24-27), comprising the device performing: dividing a medium access time into a sequence of at least one contiguous superframe beginning at a Beacon Period Start Time (page 4, lines 26-30); partitioning the superframe into a slotted Beaconing Period (BP), having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, followed by a data transfer period (Figs. 2A, 2B, page 2, lines 30-31); and associating with at least one of an existing ad hoc network BP (page 6, lines 7-9) or creating a new ad hoc network BP as the BP of the device (page 6, lines 11-16).

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 31, is directed to a distributed beaconing apparatus for an ad hoc network device (see Appellants' specification at least at the title and at page 2, lines 24-27), comprising: a receiver for receiving beacons and data transfers from other ad hoc network devices (page 5, lines 28-31); a transmitter for transmitting beacons of the device and data (page 6, lines 26-28); a distributed beacon period processing component that processes received beacons and beacons of the device for transmission; a controller operatively coupled to said distributed beacon processing component and configured to direct said processing

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be explicitly noted that it is not Appellants' intention that the currently claimed or described embodiments be limited to operation within the illustrative embodiments described below beyond what is required by the claim language. Further description of the illustrative embodiments are provided indicating portions of the claims which cover the illustrative embodiments merely for compliance with requirements of this appeal without intending to read any further interpreted limitations into the claims as presented.

component to (page 7, line 31-page 8, line 3)— i. divide the medium into a sequence of superframes comprising at least one slotted beaconing period (BP) and including a certain number of beacon slots each having a pre-determined beacon slot length, said slotted BP being followed by a data transfer period (Figs. 2A, 2B, page 2, lines 30-31), and ii. associate with at least one of an existing ad hoc network BP (page 6, lines 7-9) and a new ad hoc network BP as the BPs of the device (page 6, lines 11-16).

## **VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL**

- A. Whether claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 21-22, and 26-30 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2003/0169697 to Suzuki et al. (“Suzuki”), in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0214967 to Heberling (“Heberling”).
- B. Whether claims 4, 8, 12-20, and 23-25 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Heberling and further in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0012176 to Kondylis et al. (“Kondylis”).
- C. Whether claims 31-33 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0169697 to Kim et al. (“Kim”).
- D. Whether claims 34-37 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2003/0169697 to Suzuki in view of US Patent Publication 2003/0214967 to Heberling.

### NOTE:

On page 5 of the final Office Action, the Examiner objects to the disclosure because headings are not including in the specification. This objection will be addressed subsequent to the Board’s decision with respect to the rejections of the claims in this Appeal; however, Appellants note that section headings are not required by the U.S.P.T.O. per: Miscellaneous Changes in Patent Practice, Response to comments 17 and 18 (Official Gazette, August 13, 1996) [Docket No: 950620162-6014-02] RIN 0651-AA75 ("Section

1.77 is permissive rather than mandatory. ... [T]he Office will not require any application to comply with the format set forth in 1.77").

## **VII. ARGUMENT**

Appellants respectfully traverse the rejections in accordance with the detailed arguments set forth below.

**A. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 21-22, and 26-30 are not properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Heberling.**

### **1. Claim 1**

Independent claim 1 requires:

*A method for a distributed beaconing period protocol for a device in an ad hoc network of devices, comprising the device performing:*

*dividing a medium access time into a sequence of at least one contiguous superframe beginning at a Beacon Period Start Time;*

*partitioning the superframe into a slotted Beaconing Period (BP), having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, followed by a data transfer period; and*

*associating with at least one of an existing ad hoc network BP or creating a new ad hoc network BP as the BP of the device.*  
[Emphasis added].

On pages 3-4 of the final Office Action, the Examiner relies on Suzuki for allegedly disclosing or suggesting the feature of partitioning a superframe into a slotted beaconing period having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, as set forth in Appellants' claim 1.

Appellants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Suzuki at Fig. 4 and paragraphs [0108]-[0116] discloses a TDMA frame for a Parent Network which apparently begins with a beacon period followed by a data period for multiple users (User A, User B, etc.). The data period for User A apparently begins with a beacon period for a separate Daughter Network. Appellants respectfully submit that this citation of Suzuki



does not disclose or suggest **partitioning** the superframe into **a** slotted Beacons Period **having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots**.

Suzuki at Fig. 4 partitions the TDMA frame into a beaconing period for the Parent Network followed by a data period for User A. However, in contrast to Appellants' claim 1, Suzuki's beaconing period for the Parent Network does not have a plurality of contiguous beacon slots.

Appellants respectfully point out that Suzuki's beaconing period of the Daughter Network is not part of the beaconing period of the Parent Network TDMA frame. Instead, the beacon slot of Suzuki's Daughter Network is part of the Parent Network's data transfer period for User A. As clearly indicated by Suzuki in Fig. 4, the beaconing period for the Parent Network does not include the beaconing slot of the Daughter Network. Suzuki at Fig. 4 only shows one beaconing period in the Parent Network, and this beaconing period does not have a plurality of contiguous beacon slots. Therefore, Suzuki does not disclose or suggest the feature of partitioning the superframe into a slotted Beacons Period (BP), having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, as required by Appellants' claim 1.

Heberling at paragraph [0016] and Fig. 5 discloses a superframe allegedly comprising a beacon frame 510 followed by a contention access period 520 and time slots 540 within a contention free period 530. However, Heberling does not disclose or suggest the superframe having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, as required in Appellants' claim 1.

In view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the combination of Suzuki and Heberling does not disclose or suggest the feature of partitioning the superframe into a slotted

Beaconing Period (BP), having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, followed by a data transfer period. Therefore, the rejection to claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), should be reversed.

**2. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 21-22, and 26-30**

Each of claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 21-22, and 26-30 ultimately depends from claim 1. Furthermore, each dependent claim includes additional distinguishing features. For each dependent claim Appellants repeat the above arguments from claim 1 and apply them to each dependent claim. Thus, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections to claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 21-22, and 26-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), are unfounded and should be reversed.

**B. Claims 4, 8, 12-20, and 23-25 are not properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Heberling and further in view of Kondylis.**

**3. Claims 4, 8, 12-20, and 23-25**

Each of claims 4, 8, 12-20, and 23-25 ultimately depends from claim 1. Furthermore, each dependent claim includes additional distinguishing features. For each dependent claim Appellants repeat the above arguments from claim 1 and apply them to each dependent claim. Kondylis does not cure the deficiencies of the combination of Suzuki and Heberling as noted above with respect to Appellants' claim 1. Thus, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 4, 8, 12-20, and 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), are unfounded and should be reversed.

**C. Claims 31-33 are not properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Kim.**

**4. Claim 31**

Independent claim 31 is different from claim 1. For example, claim 31 is directed toward a distributed beaconing apparatus for an ad hoc network device, while claim 1 is directed toward a method for a distributed beaconing protocol for a device in an ad hoc network of devices.

On pages 21 and 22 of the Office Action, the Examiner uses substantially the same arguments as set forth with regard to claim 1, alleging that claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Suzuki in view of Kim. Appellants submit that claim 31 is different from claim 1 as claim 31 includes patentable subject matter of: “divide the medium into a sequence of superframes comprising at least one slotted beaconing period (BP) and including a certain number of beacon slots each having a pre-determined beacon slot length, said slotted BP being followed by a data transfer period.” However, Appellants essentially repeat the above arguments for claim 1 and apply them to the specific features and claim interpretation of claim 31.

While Kim may disclose a method for adjusting a beacon period in a wireless communication apparatus, Kim does not cure the deficiency of Suzuki with respect to claim 31. The combination of Suzuki and Kim does not disclose or suggest the features of: divide the medium into a sequence of superframes comprising at least one slotted beaconing period (BP) and including a certain number of beacon slots each having a pre-determined beacon slot length, said slotted BP being followed by a data transfer period. As such, the Appellants respectively submit that the Examiner has not presented a prima facie case of obvious and the rejection of independent claim 31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), is unfounded and should be reversed.

#### **4. Claims 32 and 33**

Each of claims 32 and 33 depends from claim 31 and further includes additional distinguishing features. Appellants repeat the above arguments from claim 31 and apply them to each of claims 32 and 33. Thus, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 32 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), are unfounded and should be reversed.

**D. Claims 34-37 are not properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Kim and Kondylis.**

#### **6. Claims 34-37**

Each of claims 34-37 ultimately depends from claim 31. Furthermore, each dependent claim includes additional distinguishing features. For each dependent claim Appellants repeat the above arguments from claim 31 and apply them to each dependent claim. Kondylis does not cure the deficiencies of Suzuki and Kim as noted above with respect to Appellants' claim 31. Thus, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections to claims 34-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), are unfounded and should be reversed.

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-37 are in error, legally and factually, and must be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Brian S. Myers/

Brian S. Myers  
Myers Wolin, LLC  
Reg. No. 46,947

**Please direct all correspondence to:**

Corporate Counsel  
U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

## **VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX**

1. (Previously presented) A method for a distributed beaconing period protocol for a device in an ad hoc network of devices, comprising the device performing:

dividing a medium access time into a sequence of at least one contiguous superframe beginning at a Beacon Period Start Time;

partitioning the superframe into a slotted Beaconing Period (BP), having a plurality of contiguous beacon slots, followed by a data transfer period; and

associating with at least one of an existing ad hoc network BP or creating a new ad hoc network BP as the BP of the device.

2. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

if the BP of the device is not protected in at least one neighboring BP, protecting the unprotected BP in the at least one neighboring BP; and

once the BP of the device is protected, operating normally.

3. (Previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the protecting the unprotected BP further comprises including a first reservation for the BP in the beacon of the device in the at least one neighboring BP.

4. (Previously presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the reservation is a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP and priority = BP.

5. (Previously presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the associating further comprises:

choosing an empty slot of the BP of the device; and

beaconing a beacon of the device in the chosen empty slot.

6. (Previously presented) The method of claim 5, further comprising including information regarding the beacons of other devices in the beacon of the device.

7. (Previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein the protecting the unprotected BP further comprises including a second reservation in the beacon of the device to announce the BP of said other devices.

8. (Previously presented) The method of claim 7, wherein the second reservation is a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP and priority = BP.

9. (Previously presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the associating comprises:  
    scanning the medium to detect at least one BP during the at least one superframe;  
    if at least one BP is not detected, starting a new BP as the BP of the device at a beacon period start time calculated in a pre-determined manner; and  
    if at least one BP is detected, deciding to perform one of:  
        i. joining at least one of the at least one detected BP as the BPs of the device, and  
        ii. starting a new BP as the BP of the device at a beacon period start time determined in a pre-determined manner.

10. (Previously presented) The method of claim 9, wherein the associating further comprises:  
    choosing an empty slot of the BP of the device and  
    beaconing a beacon of the device in the chosen empty slot.

11. (Previously presented) The method of claim 10, further comprising including information regarding the beacons of other devices in the beacon of the device.

12. (Previously presented) The method of claim 8, wherein the protecting further comprises including a third reservation in the beacon of the device in the neighboring BPs to announce the BP.

13. (Previously presented) The method of claim 12, wherein the third reservation is a Distributed

Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP and priority = BP.

14. (Previously presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the operating normally comprises:  
receiving beacons over the medium; and  
when a beacon comprising a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP is received, performing

- scanning for a new BP, and
- when a new BP is detected, protecting the new BP.

15. (Previously presented) The method of claim 14, wherein the protecting further comprises including a fourth reservation in the beacon of the device to protect the BP.

16. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15, wherein the fourth reservation is a DRP reservation of type BP and priority = BP.

17. (Previously presented) The method of claim 14, wherein the operating normally further comprises a device optionally switching BP if two or more BPs co-exist.

18. (Previously presented) The method of claim 17, wherein the switching BP by the device further comprises:

including a special switching announcement field in a beacon to announce a new BP; and  
beaconing for at least a predetermined announcement number of consecutive superframes with the beacon including the special switching announcement field.

19. (Previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the beaconing further comprises one selected from the group consisting of:

- (a) performing
  - including a DRP reservation of type BP to protect the new BP, if the new BP is not already protected, and
  - stopping transmission of the beacon, if the new BP is already protected; and



- (b) transmitting a beacon in the new BP.

20. The method of claim 18, wherein the operating normally further comprises:  
when a beacon comprising a BP switching announcement of another device is received,  
performing

- scanning for a new BP, and

- when a new BP is detected, protecting the new BP.

21. (Previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the operating normally further comprises terminating the BP.

22. (Previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the operating normally further comprises clearing a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP BP reservation of the device when no beacons are received during the BP for a pre-determined clearing number of consecutive superframes.

23. (Previously presented) The method of claim 14, wherein the ~~step of~~ operating normally further comprises when at least two BPs collide, until there are no longer any colliding BPs, repeatedly performing at least one of selected from the group consisting of:

- (a) performing:

- searching each colliding BP for enough empty beacon slots for the devices of an other colliding BP, and
- moving at least one colliding BP to a non-colliding beacon period start time; and

- (b) performing

- searching the superframe for enough empty beacon slots for the BP, and
- and moving the BP to the empty slots in the superframe.

24. (Previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the operating normally further comprises when an existing DRP reservation collides with a BP, moving the colliding Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation to a non-colliding time.

25. (Previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the operating normally further comprises moving the BP to a non-colliding time when an existing Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation collides with a BP.

26. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising each device of the ad hoc network of devices beaconing in the same BP, by performing a selected one from the group consisting of:

- beaconing in parallel in each BP of each device of said network of devices; and
- switching a BP to beacon in a same BP as other devices of said network of devices.

27. (Previously presented) The method of claim 26, wherein a device that does not have to switch its BP is chosen in a distributed way based on an identifier of each device of said network of devices.

28. (Previously presented) The method of claim 26, wherein a device that does not have to switch its BP is chosen in a distributed way based on the number of occupied beacon slots in the BP of each device of said network of devices.

29. (Previously presented) The method of claim 26, wherein a device that does not have to switch its BP is chosen in a distributed way based on the size of the portion of the superframe that is reserved by the beacons in a BP of a device of said network of devices.

30. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each device of said network of devices may beacon in a different BP.

31. (Previously presented) A distributed beaconing apparatus for an ad hoc network device, comprising:

a receiver for receiving beacons and data transfers from other ad hoc network devices;  
a transmitter for transmitting beacons of the device and data;  
a distributed beacon period processing component that processes received beacons and beacons of the device for transmission;  
a controller operatively coupled to said distributed beacon processing component and configured to direct said processing component to -

- i. divide the medium into a sequence of superframes comprising at least one slotted beaconing period (BP) and including a certain number of beacon slots each having a pre-determined beacon slot length, said slotted BP being followed by a data transfer period, and
- ii. associate with at least one of an existing ad hoc network BP and a new ad hoc network BP as the BPs of the device.

32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 31, wherein said controller is further configured to direct said distributed beacon processing component to:

- iii. protect the BPs of the device in neighboring BPs; and
- iv. operate normally, once the BP of the device is protected.

33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the controller is further configured to:

- choose an empty slot of the BP of the device; and  
beacon a beacon of the device in the chosen empty slot.

34. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the distributed BP processing component protects the BP of the device by including a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP and priority = BP in the beacon of the device to announce the BP to neighboring devices.

35. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 34, wherein the distributed BP processing component is further configured to include information regarding the beacons of other devices in

the beacon of the device.

36. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 35, wherein the controller is further configured to control the distributed BP to:

- scan the medium to detect at least one BP during the at least one superframe;
- if at least one BP is not detected, start a new BP as the BP of the device at a beacon period start time calculated in a pre-determined manner; and
- if at least one BP is detected, decide to perform one of:
  - i. join one of the at least one detected BP as the BP of the device, and
  - ii. start a new BP as the BP of the device at a BP start time determined in a pre-determined manner.

37. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 36, wherein for normal operation the controller is further configured to:

when a received beacon includes at least one of a Distributed Reservation Protocol DRP reservation of type BP and a BP switching announcement for an other device

- scan for a new BP, and
- when a new BP is detected, protect the new BP;

when a beacon of a neighbor is received, protect the neighbor BP;

when the device switches BPs announce in the beacon of the device, for a predetermined announcement number of consecutive superframes, that the device is switching BP;

optionally switch BPs if two or more BPs co-exist;

terminate the BP;

clear a DRP BP reservation of the device when no beacons are received during the BP for a pre-determined clearing number of consecutive superframes.

when at least two BPs collide, until there are no longer any colliding BPs, repeatedly perform at least one function selected from the group consisting of:

search each colliding BP for enough empty beacon slots for the devices of another colliding BP; and move at least one colliding BP to a non-colliding beacon period start time; and  
when an existing DRP reservation collides with a BP, moving the colliding DRP

reservation to a non-colliding time of the data transfer period.

**IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

No evidence has been submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title nor any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by Appellants in the appeal.

**X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX**

Appellants are not aware of any appeals or interferences related to the present application.